United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/621,889	07/17/2003	Thomas R. Headley	010355-9136	3069
25.07	7590 01/18/2007 ST & FRIEDRICH, LL		EXAMINER	
100 E WISCON	NSIN AVENUE	• ·	HEWITT, JAMES M	
MILWAUKEE	, WI 53202		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3679	
			T	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
21 D	AVS:	01/18/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/621,889	HEADLEY	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James M. Hewitt	3679	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	nddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION 36(a). In no event, however, may a new solution will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, cause the application to become Af	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	 action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		ters, prosecution as to t	ne merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E			
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		,	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			•
8) Claim(s) 1-25 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers	·		
	r		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable		by the Evaminer	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		·	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			CER 1 121(d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
•	majority under 25 11 C.C. S	C 110(a) (d) or (f)	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	3 1 19(a)-(u) 01 (1).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	s have been received		
1. Certified copies of the priority document		unnlication No	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority			al Stago
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 	•	received in this readon	al Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received	
dee the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the contined copies not		
Attachment(s)	و د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د	Summan (DTO 442)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of I	nformal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) [] Other:	•	

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-12, drawn to a method of manufacturing a flow connector, classified in class 264, subclass 274.
- II. Claims 13-25, drawn to a flow connector, classified in class 285, subclass 285.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product can be made by a process that does not include molding (e.g. cutting and shaping).

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required

because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James M. Hewitt whose telephone number is 571-272-7084.

Application/Control Number: 10/621,889

Art Unit: 3679

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel Stodola can be reached on 571-272-7087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JMH 1/8/06

> JAMES M. HEWITT PRIMARY EXAMINER